

INDEX THEORY FOR PARTIAL-BIJECTIONS

P.L. ROBINSON

ABSTRACT. We offer streamlined proofs of fundamental theorems regarding the index theory for partial self-maps of an infinite set that are bijective between cofinite subsets.

0. INTRODUCTION

In a recent paper [1] we called the self-map f of the infinite set Ω a *near-bijection* precisely when f restricts to a true bijection from a cofinite subset $A \subseteq \Omega$ to a cofinite subset $B \subseteq \Omega$. Along with the range $f(\Omega)$ of f we introduced its ‘monoset’

$$\Omega_f = \{\omega \in \Omega : \overleftarrow{f}(f(\omega)) = \{\omega\}\};$$

in these terms, f is a near-bijection precisely when $f(\Omega)$ and Ω_f are cofinite. The *index* of the near-bijection f is then defined by

$$\text{ind}(f) = |\Omega'_f| - |f(\Omega'_f)| - |f(\Omega)'| \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where if $C \subseteq \Omega$ then $|C|$ is its cardinality and $C' = \Omega \setminus C$ is its complement. We showed in [1] that the index is insensitive to changes on a finite set and that $\text{ind}(f)$ is zero precisely when f differs from a bijection on a finite set. We also showed that when near-bijections that differ on a finite set are identified, their equivalence classes constitute a group on which the $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued index is a homomorphism.

In [1] considerable effort was devoted to the careful handling of Ω'_f and $f(\Omega)'$: for example, when the value of a near-bijection f is changed at $\omega \in \Omega'_f$ it is important to know whether the number of points at which $f(\omega)$ was formerly the value is two or is greater than two; it is also important to know whether the new value of f at ω was or was not formerly a value of f . These circumstances cause technical complications: for example, in the verification that the index is insensitive to changes on a finite set and in the verification that the index is a homomorphism. Our primary purpose in this paper is to reformulate the notion of near-bijection in a way that circumvents these complications and facilitates streamlined proofs of the fundamental results.

1. INDEX THEORY

Let Ω be an infinite set.

Definition: A *partial-bijection* is a (true) bijection $f : A_f \rightarrow B_f$ from a cofinite subset $A_f \subseteq \Omega$ to a cofinite subset $B_f \subseteq \Omega$.

This is our reformulation of the notion of near-bijection: as $f(\Omega)'$ and (especially) Ω'_f were the source of complications in [1] we simply eliminate them; much of the focus in [1] was on properties defined only up to changes on finite sets, so this reformulation is eminently reasonable. More strictly, we should perhaps speak of a bijective partial self-map; but the convenient abuse ‘partial-bijection’ is also reasonable.

Definition: The *index* of the partial-bijection f is defined by

$$\text{ind}(f) = |A'_f| - |B'_f| \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We should of course verify that this notion of index agrees with the notion in [1]. To this end, let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be a near-bijection in the sense of [1]: that is, a map for which the complements Ω'_f (see the Introduction) and $f(\Omega)'$ are finite. Restricting f (but using the same symbol for convenience) yields a partial-bijection $f : \Omega_f \rightarrow f(\Omega_f)$: in fact,

$$\Omega \setminus f(\Omega_f) = (\Omega \setminus f(\Omega)) \cup (f(\Omega) \setminus f(\Omega_f))$$

where $f(\Omega) \setminus f(\Omega_f) = f(\Omega \setminus \Omega_f)$ by definition of Ω_f ; accordingly,

$$|f(\Omega_f)'| = |f(\Omega)'| + |f(\Omega'_f)|.$$

It follows that the index of $f : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ as defined in [1] is

$$|\Omega'_f| - |f(\Omega'_f)| - |f(\Omega)'| = |\Omega'_f| - |f(\Omega_f)'|$$

and so agrees with the index of $f : \Omega_f \rightarrow f(\Omega_f)$ as presently defined.

With the current definitions, the following is immediate.

Theorem 1. *The partial-bijection f extends to a true bijection $\Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ precisely when $\text{ind}(f)$ vanishes.*

Proof. The bijection $f : A_f \rightarrow B_f$ extends to a bijection from Ω to itself precisely when the finite complements A'_f and B'_f have the same cardinality. $\square$

In [1] we identified near-bijections when they differed on a finite set. Here, the corresponding identification results from the following definition.

Definition: The partial-bijections f and g are *almost equal* (notation: $f \equiv g$) precisely when f and g agree on a cofinite subset of $A_f \cap A_g$.

It is readily verified that almost equality is an equivalence relation; transitivity would fail were we simply to insist that f and g agree on their common domain $A_f \cap A_g$.

As expected, the index is insensitive to changes on a finite set.

Theorem 2. *Let f and g be partial-bijections. If $f \equiv g$ then $\text{ind}(f) = \text{ind}(g)$.*

Proof. Let f and g agree on the cofinite set $E \subseteq A_f \cap A_g$ and write $e : E \rightarrow e(E)$ for the common restriction $f|_E = g|_E$. Now f restricts to a bijection $A_f \setminus E \rightarrow B_f \setminus e(E)$ where

$$A_f \setminus E = A_f \cap E' = E' \setminus A'_f$$

and

$$B_f \setminus e(E) = B_f \cap e(E)' = e(E)' \setminus B'_f$$

whence

$$|E'| - |A'_f| = |e(E)'| - |B'_f|$$

and therefore

$$\text{ind}(f) = |A'_f| - |B'_f| = |E'| - |e(E)'| = \text{ind}(e).$$

The symmetric observation that $\text{ind}(e)$ equals $\text{ind}(g)$ completes the proof. $\square$

Remark: Comparison with the proof of the corresponding result (Theorem 19) in [1] amply demonstrates the virtue of the approach adopted here.

Let us now consider the composition of partial-bijections. The natural approach to composition of partial maps suggests the following.

Definition: The *composite* of the partial-bijections f and (then) g is the map $g \circ f : A_{g \circ f} \rightarrow B_{g \circ f}$ with domain

$$A_{g \circ f} := \overleftarrow{f}(B_f \cap A_g)$$

with codomain

$$B_{g \circ f} := \overrightarrow{g}(B_f \cap A_g)$$

and with rule

$$(\forall \omega \in \Omega) \quad (g \circ f)(\omega) := g(f(\omega)).$$

Theorem 3. *If f and g are partial-bijections, then so is $g \circ f$.*

Proof. That $g \circ f : A_{g \circ f} \rightarrow B_{g \circ f}$ is a bijection is clear. To see that $A_{g \circ f}$ is cofinite, observe that

$$A'_{g \circ f} = \overleftarrow{f}(B_f \cap A_g)' = (\Omega \setminus A_f) \cup (A_f \setminus \overleftarrow{f}(B_f \cap A_g))$$

where $|\Omega \setminus A_f| = |A'_f|$ and where (as f is a bijection from A_f to B_f)

$$|A_f \setminus \overleftarrow{f}(B_f \cap A_g)| = |B_f \setminus (B_f \cap A_g)| = |B_f \setminus A_g| = |A'_g \setminus B'_f|.$$

Thus

$$|\overleftarrow{f}(B_f \cap A_g)'| = |A'_f| + |A'_g \setminus B'_f|$$

is finite, as likewise is

$$|\overrightarrow{g}(B_f \cap A_g)'| = |B'_g| + |B'_f \setminus A'_g|.$$

□

We now propose to prove that when partial-bijections are composed their indices add. For this purpose, it is convenient first to record the following triviality.

Theorem 4. *If X and Y are finite sets then $|X \setminus Y| + |Y| = |Y \setminus X| + |X|$.*

Proof. Each side of the equation is precisely $|X \cup Y|$. □

Verification of our claim regarding the index of a composite is now quite straightforward.

Theorem 5. *If f and g are partial-bijections then $\text{ind}(g \circ f) = \text{ind}(g) + \text{ind}(f)$.*

Proof. We continue from the close of the proof for Theorem 3. Thus

$$\text{ind}(g \circ f) = |\overleftarrow{f}(B_f \cap A_g)'| - |\overrightarrow{g}(B_f \cap A_g)'| = |A'_f| + |A'_g \setminus B'_f| - |B'_g| - |B'_f \setminus A'_g|$$

while

$$\text{ind}(g) + \text{ind}(f) = |A'_g| - |B'_g| + |A'_f| - |B'_f|$$

whence

$$\text{ind}(g \circ f) - \text{ind}(g) - \text{ind}(f) = |A'_g \setminus B'_f| + |B'_g| - |B'_f \setminus A'_g| - |A'_g|$$

and an application of Theorem 4 with $X = A'_g$ and $Y = B'_f$ ends the argument. □

Remark: In [1] the corresponding result is Theorem 27; once again, comparison highlights the virtue of the approach taken in the present paper.

Let $f : A_f \rightarrow B_f$ be a partial bijection: as a bijection, f has an inverse map $f^{-1} : B_f \rightarrow A_f$ which is also a partial-bijection; the composites $f^{-1} \circ f = \text{Id}_{A_f}$ and $f \circ f^{-1} = \text{Id}_{B_f}$ imply that $f^{-1} \circ f \equiv \text{Id}_\Omega \equiv f \circ f^{-1}$. As a companion to the last theorem, we have the next.

Theorem 6. *If f is a partial-bijection then $\text{ind}(f^{-1}) = -\text{ind}(f)$.*

Proof. Immediate: passage from f to its inverse switches A_f and B_f . $\square$

The permutations of Ω make up the symmetric group S_Ω ; Theorem 1 says that the partial-bijections having index zero are exactly the restrictions of these permutations to cofinite subsets of Ω . It is clear that composing a partial-bijection with a permutation (on either side, left or right) does not affect the index: indeed, if f is a partial-bijection and π is a permutation, then $A'_{\pi \circ f} = A'_f$ and $B'_{\pi \circ f} = \overrightarrow{\pi}(B_f)' = \overrightarrow{\pi}(B_f)$ so that

$$\text{ind}(\pi \circ f) = |A'_{\pi \circ f}| - |B'_{\pi \circ f}| = |A'_f| - |\pi(B_f)| = |A'_f| - |B_f| = \text{ind}(f)$$

while $A'_{f \circ \pi} = \overleftarrow{\pi}(A_f)' = \overleftarrow{\pi}(A_f)$ and $B'_{f \circ \pi} = B'_f$ so that

$$\text{ind}(f \circ \pi) = |A'_{f \circ \pi}| - |B'_{f \circ \pi}| = |\overleftarrow{\pi}(A_f)| - |B'_f| = |A'_f| - |B_f| = \text{ind}(f).$$

In fact, this essentially covers all cases of equal index: any two partial-bijections having the same index are related by permutations in this way, up to almost equality.

Theorem 7. *Let f and g be partial-bijections. If $\text{ind}(f) = \text{ind}(g)$ then there exist permutations $\lambda \in S_\Omega$ and $\rho \in S_\Omega$ such that $\lambda \circ f \equiv g \equiv f \circ \rho$.*

Proof. The composite partial-bijection g after f^{-1} is a true bijection

$$g \circ f^{-1} : \overrightarrow{f}(A_g) \rightarrow \overrightarrow{g}(A_f).$$

Theorem 5 and Theorem 6 show that $\text{ind}(g \circ f^{-1}) = \text{ind}(g) - \text{ind}(f) = 0$ and then Theorem 1 shows that the partial-bijection $g \circ f^{-1}$ extends to a permutation λ of Ω ; the almost equality $\lambda \circ f \equiv g$ is clear. Similarly, the composite partial-bijection

$$f^{-1} \circ g : \overleftarrow{g}(B_f) \rightarrow \overleftarrow{f}(B_g)$$

has vanishing index and extends to a permutation ρ of Ω such that $g \equiv f \circ \rho$. $\square$

Remark: This demonstrates quite strikingly the virtue of the present approach when dealing with matters that allow indeterminacy on finite sets. In [1] the corresponding result is a combination of Theorem 21, Theorem 22 and Theorem 23; there, complications involving range and monoset necessitate the separate handling of λ and ρ as well as the separate handling of negative index and positive index.

As in [1] it is of interest to view these results from a group-theoretic perspective. Almost equality defines an equivalence relation on the set of all partial-bijections of Ω ; we denote by $\mathbb{G}_\Omega$ the set comprising all such $\equiv$ -classes, denoting the $\equiv$ -class of f by $[f]$ as usual.

Theorem 8. *Let f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 be partial-bijections. If $f_1 \equiv f_2$ and $g_1 \equiv g_2$ then $g_1 \circ f_1 \equiv g_2 \circ f_2$.*

Proof. Note from Theorem 3 that $g_1 \circ f_1$ and $g_2 \circ f_2$ are partial-bijections. Let $F \subseteq A_{f_1} \cap A_{f_2}$ and $G \subseteq A_{g_1} \cap A_{g_2}$ be cofinite sets on which $f_1|_F = f_2|_F =: f$ and $g_1|_G = g_2|_G =: g$. Verification that $g_1 \circ f_1$ and $g_2 \circ f_2$ agree on $\overleftarrow{f}(G)$ is immediate; verification that $\overleftarrow{f}(G)$ is cofinite presents no difficulties. $\square$

It follows that composition descends to a well-defined (associative) binary operation on $\mathbb{G}_\Omega$; this makes $\mathbb{G}_\Omega$ into a group, the inverse of $[f]$ being $[f^{-1}]$. Theorem 2 guarantees that the index map ind descends to a well-defined map

$$\text{Ind} : \mathbb{G}_\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

which is a group homomorphism by Theorem 5. By Theorem 1, the kernel $\mathbb{S}_\Omega$ of Ind comprises precisely all $\equiv$ -classes containing permutations. The image of Ind is of course $\mathbb{Z}$: note that if $\omega_0 \in \Omega$ then any bijection $u : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega \setminus \{\omega_0\}$ has index -1 and if $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $[u]^n$ has index $-n$. The cosets of $\mathbb{S}_\Omega \triangleleft \mathbb{G}_\Omega$ are labelled by Ind : this is clear from the fundamental isomorphism theorem, but is also explicit in Theorem 7 and the discussion leading up to it.

Thus, we have constructed a short exact sequence of groups

$$\mathrm{Id} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

This sequence splits, as $\mathbb{Z}$ is infinite cyclic: with u as above, a splitting homomorphism is

$$\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_\Omega : n \mapsto [u]^{-n}.$$

In summary, the approach taken in this paper, based on partial-bijections in place of near-bijections, offers a significantly streamlined route to those results of [1] pertaining to properties that are unaffected by changes on finite subsets of Ω ; in particular, it is well-suited to handling the group $\mathbb{G}_\Omega$ and the index.

REFERENCES

- [1] P.L. Robinson, *Fredholm theory for cofinite sets*, arXiv 1509.08039 (2015).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE FL 32611 USA
E-mail address: paulr@ufl.edu